

Office of the City Engineer
Los Angeles, California

To the Honorable Council
Of the City of Los Angeles

June 30, 2021

Honorable Members:

CD No. 4

SUBJECT:

Rejection of the Future Street as Public Street - 3775 North
Barham Blvd - Right of Way No. 36000-2286

RECOMMENDATIONS:

- A. That the attached draft of Resolution rejecting the future street as public street located westerly of the intersection of Barham Blvd and Forest Lawn Drive as shown hatched colored in red on Exhibit A, be adopted subject to the following condition:
 1. That petitioner make satisfactory arrangement with the Real Estate Division (RED) of the Bureau of Engineering (Engineering) with respect to the payment of document recording fee.
- B. That Engineering's RED record the Resolution with the County Recorder when the condition in Recommendation A has been complied with.
- C. That pursuant to Section 556 of the City Charter, the City Council finds that this rejection of the future street as public street is insubstantial conformance with the purpose, intent and provisions of the General Plan.
- D. That the City Council find that this rejection of the portion of future street as public street is categorically exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act of 1970, pursuant to Article III, Class 5(22) of the City's Guidelines.
- E. That following Council adoption, Resolution rejecting the portion of future street as public street be forwarded to the Engineering's RED for processing.

F. That notification of the time and place of the Council meeting to consider this matter be sent to:

- 1) VS2 Consulting, Inc.
820 Mission St, Ste B
South Pasadena, CA 91030
- 2) UNIVERSAL STUDIOS LLC C/O C/O KATHRYN
MCCLURE
1 Comcast Ctr FL 32
Philadelphia, PA 19103
- 3) MCA, INC.
P.O. BOX 8041
Universal City, CA 91608

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT:

A fee of \$7,075.91 was paid for processing this request pursuant to Section 7.40 of the Administrative Code.

TRANSMITTALS:

1. Application dated February 22, 2021 from VS2 Consulting, Inc.
2. Draft of Resolution of Rejection.
3. Exhibit "A", location map.

DISCUSSION:

The petitioner, VS2 Consulting, Inc., is requesting the City to reject the 100-foot wide future street, shown hatched in red on the attached Exhibit A, as a public street. The involved 100-foot wide future street is located westerly of the intersection of Barham Blvd and Forest Lawn Drive.

The petitioner has requested the rejection and stated that the future street dedication is necessary for future development.

The future street easement was offered for dedication by that certain "Irrevocable Offer to Dedicate" recorded as Document No. 85-547244, of Official Records, in the Office of the County

Recorder of Los Angeles County. This "Irrevocable Offer to Dedicate" document includes a provision that if the offer is rejected by the City Council, Engineering shall issue a release from such offer which shall be recorded in the Office of the County Recorder of Los Angeles County.

The petitioner's request can be granted since the properties in this area can be served by private driveways, common access, or public street.

Engineering's Valley District Office stated in its communication dated April 28, 2021, that it has no objection to this request.

The Department of Transportation stated in its communication dated April 7, 2021, 2020, that it does not oppose this request.

The Department of City Planning stated in its communication dated April 15, 2021, that the request is consistent with the policies and objectives of the Sherman Oaks - Studio City - Toluca Lake - Cahuenga Pass Community Plan and the General Plan.

The City Engineer is also requiring the petitioner to provide payment of the recording fee as outlined under Recommendation "A" in a manner satisfactory to the City Engineer.

ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINATION:

The Bureau of Engineering has determined that the rejection of the future street easement is exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act of 1970, pursuant to the categorical exemptions included in the City of Los Angeles Guidelines under Article III, Class 5(22).

Respectfully submitted,



Bert Moklebust
Principal Civil Engineer
Permit Case Management Division
Bureau of Engineering